Community Empowerment Unit The Scottish Government Area 3-J South Victoria Quay Edinburgh EH6 6QQ Longmore House Salisbury Place Edinburgh EH9 1SH

Switchboard: 0131 668 8600 <a href="mailto:chiefexecutive@hes.scot">chiefexecutive@hes.scot</a>

Our Case ID: 201601364

By email ParticipationRequestsGuidance@gov.scot

17 June 2016

Dear Mr Turner

# The Scottish Government – Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 Participation Requests: Consultation on Draft Regulations

Thank you for your invitation to provide our views on draft regulations for Participation Requests. I offer the following comments on behalf of Historic Environment Scotland which is the new lead public body established to investigate, care for and promote Scotland's historic environment.

We are a non-departmental public body with charitable status, governed by a Board of Trustees, who were appointed by Scottish Ministers. We lead and enable Scotland's first historic environment strategy *Our Place in Time*, which sets out how our historic environment will be managed. It ensures our historic environment is cared for, valued and enhanced, both now and for future generations.

We are also responsible for more than 300 properties of national importance. Buildings and monuments in our care include Edinburgh Castle, Skara Brae, Fort George and numerous smaller sites across Scotland, which together draw more than 3 million visitors per year.

#### Consultation paper

We found the consultation paper and associated draft Regulations to be clear and helpful. We are also grateful to have had the opportunity to meet with a member of your team last month to discuss the consultation material in detail. This has greatly assisted the conversation we are having across our organisation about how we meet the aspirations of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015, including how we increase participation with community bodies, communities of interest and local people.

#### **General Comment – Public Service Authority**

We note that Historic Environment Scotland is not currently listed under Schedule 2 of the Act as a Public Service Authority. We are also uncertain as to whether our organisation meets the definition under section 21 (3) (b) of the Act for this purpose. For avoidance of

Historic Environment Scotland – Longmore House, Salisbury Place, Edinburgh, EH9 1SH Scottish Charity No. **SC045925** VAT No. **GB 221 8680 15** 

doubt, we consider that community bodies should be able to apply to participate in the delivery of the services that we provide and that Historic Environment Scotland should therefore be included in the Schedule. This would also reflect and compliment the current inclusion of similar organisations such as Scottish Natural Heritage and Scottish Enterprise.

We also understand that while Historic Environment Scotland is not currently listed under Schedule 3 of the Act (as a Relevant Authority for the purposes of Asset transfer Requests), the intention is that this will amended to allow for our inclusion.

On the assumption that our organisation will be involved in Participation Requests we have provided commentary on some of the questions contained in the consultation paper regarding the application process, timescales and the ways in which we can help promote and support such requests.

I hope this is helpful. Should you wish to discuss our comments in more detail, please feel welcome to contact Alasdair McKenzie on 0131 668 8924.

Yours sincerely

**Barbara Cummins**Director of Heritage Management





## RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

	<b>se Note</b> this form <b>must</b> be returi ou responding as an individual o	
	Individual	
$\boxtimes$	Organisation	
Full	name or organisation's name	
His	toric Enviroment Scotland	
Phone number		0131 669 8924
Addr	ess	-,
Sal	igmore House isbury Place nburgh	
Post	code	EH9 1SH
Email		alasdair.mckenzie@hes.scot
	Scottish Government would like you indicate your publishing prefe	your permission to publish your consultation response rence:
$\boxtimes$	Publish response with name	
	Publish response only (anonyr	mous)
	Do not publish response	
may future	be addressing the issues you dis	y with other Scottish Government policy teams who scuss. They may wish to contact you again in the note to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to onsultation exercise?
$\boxtimes$	Yes	
	No	
	icipation Requests under 2015:  Consultation on Dr	the Community Empowerment (Scotland) aft Regulations

### Questionnaire

Yes ⊠ No ☐ Please give reasons for your response.
The proposed statutory form could be helpful in guiding applicants to provide key information and help encourage consistency in the submission and determination of applications across Scotland. It would also be useful if the form (or associated guidance) could highlight the importance of early/informal consultation with the Relevant Authority so that they can take steps to ensure those requesting to participate in service delivery can obtain access to all relevant information.
Q2: Should it be possible for a community body to put in a participation request withou using a form?  Yes  No  Please give reasons for your response.

Q1: Should the use of a statutory form be required in the regulations?

If a statutory form is taken forward, we consider Community Bodies should be required to adopt this process. However we recognise that depending upon the specific circumstances/nature of the service in question a degree of flexibility is required. Applicants should not be prevented from including additional information (beyond what is specified on the form) where they feel it is important. Further guidance, including advice from Relevant Authorities could be prepared to help community bodies include the right information under each heading in relation to the services they currently deliver.

Q3: What else might a statutory form usefully cover beyond the example set out in Annex B?

As noted above, we think the guidance associated with any statutory form should highlight the benefits of pre-application engagement with the Relevant Authority.

Q4: Is 14 days a reasonable amount of time for additional public service authorities to respond?
Yes ⊠ No □
If not, please suggest an alternative timescale and explain reasons for the change.

Where multiple public service authorities are involved, the Regulations currently allow for 14 days for an additional Relevant Authority to respond to the lead public body that has received the initial participation request. We recognise this time scale needs to allow sufficient time for the lead authority to respond within 30 days. Depending upon the complexity of the request and whether there has been preapplication engagement, we consider this time frame could be challenging in some cases, but is generally reasonable.

Q5: What, if any, are the particular/specific ways that public service authorities should promote the use of participation request?

We consider Relevant Authorities should ensure staff are aware of Participation Requests and integrate the promotion of these across business areas. Authorities may also wish to review the services they currently deliver and identify those where further participation with community bodies would be beneficial. Where relevant, steps should be taken to promote these opportunities.

Q6: What are the ways that public service authorities should support community participation bodies to make a participation request and participate in an outcome improvement process that should be set out in the regulations?

Relevant Authorities should provide information on their websites and other formats to help guide community bodies that are seeking to participate in delivery of a service. A single point of contact within Relevant Authorities for handling requests would be beneficial – helping to direct applicants toward key information and to put them in contact with staff currently involved in the relevant service.

Q7: What types of communities could the regulations specify that may need additional support? Please give reasons for your response.		
No comments.		
Q8: How long should the public service authority have to assess the participation reque and give notice to the community participation body? Is 30 days a reasonable amount of time? Yes $\square$ No $\square$		
If not, how long should the period for making a decision be? Please give reasons for you response.		
In general we accept that 30 days is a reasonable period within which to make a decision. However, where there are multiple authorities involved or the nature of the request is complex and has not been subject to pre-application discussions, this timescale could prove challenging.		
You may wish to consider allowing a time extension in circumstances where both the Relevant Authority and Community Body are in agreements that further consideration is necessary before a decision is taken.		
Q9: Are there any additional information requirements that should be included in connection with a decision notice? Please give reasons for your response.		
Where specific policies or criteria have been applied as part of their decision making process, Relevant Authorities should refer to these within the decision notice.		
We understand that no appeal mechanism is provided for at present. If this changes we would expect that the decision notice would detail how appeals could be made where a request has been refused.		
Q10: What other information, if any, should the regulations specify should be published i relation to the proposed outcome improvement process? Please give reasons for your response.		
No comments.		

Q11: What other information, if any, should the regulations specify should be published in relation to the modified outcome improvement process? Please give reasons for your response.
No comments.
Q12: Section 31 sets out the aspects that the report of the outcome improvement process must contain. What other information, if any, should the regulations require the report include? Please give reasons for your response.
No comments.
Q13: Do you have any other comments on the draft Participation Request (Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2016?
No comments.

